

2020 Foresters Forum February 6, 2020

Steve Hayes and Michael Niccolucci Bureau of Business and Economic Research University of Montana, Missoula



Who we are



Research branch of the University of Montana's College of Business Administration

- Regional economic analysis
- Survey research
- Industry analysis
 - Forest industry research





Industry Analysis

- Rocky Mountains & Pacific Coast
- Describe industry structure, capacity, condition, and changes
- Track wood fiber from forest to marketplace
- Associate key economic indicators





Forest Industry Research

- State-level industry studies
- Logging utilization studies
- Timber harvest reporting
- Quarterly Montana reporting
- Other projects



Why Estimate Logging Costs?



- To remain aware of impacts of changes and help maintain industry viability, managers and contractors must remain informed of operating costs
- Current harvesting capacity inadequate to meet future demand
- Industry expressed needs:
 - Provide resource for assessing equipment types and entry costs
 - Provide equipment cost and price per unit volume data for negotiation tool/baseline guide for bidding or appraisal for extended industry



Logging Cost Studies

- Machine Costing
- Time and Motion Studies
- Expert Opinion



Hourly Machine Rates

Machine rate

- Originally proposed by Matthews (1942) Cost Control in the Logging Industry
- Method of determining the average hourly cost of a single piece of equipment over it's useful life, while operating in average conditions

Fixed costs

- "Ownership" costs
- Incurred whether or not the machine works

Variable costs

- "Operating" costs
- Only incurred when working



Fixed Costs



- Depreciation
 - "Decline in value of a machine due to wear, obsolescence, and weathering."
- Interest
 - "Rental amount charged by a lender for the use of money."
- Insurance
 - Costs of liability and comprehensive insurance
- Taxes
 - Generally minimal for in-woods equipment



Variable Costs

- Occur solely due to the operation of a machine
- Utilization = PMH/SMH
 - PMH productive machine hour: time when the machine is working productively
 - SMH scheduled machine hour: time when the machine "should" be working
 - Utilization reported as % of SMH





Variable Costs



- Fuel
 - Primarily a function of HP
- Lube
 - Generally calculated as a % of fuel costs
- Repair and maintenance
 - Most unpredictable costs
 - Percentage of depreciation
- Labor
 - Wages are paid on SMH
 - Benefits generally 50% of wage rate





Table 2. Machine Rate Worksheet

Machine description:_____

1. Input Data
Purchase price (P)
Machine horsepower rating (hp)
Machine life (n)
Salvage value, percent of purchase price (rv%)
Utilization rate (ut%)
Repair and maintenance, percent of depreciation (rm%)
nterest rate (in%)
nsurance and tax rate (it%)
Fuel consumption rate (fcr)
Fuel cost (fcg)
Lube and oil, percent of fuel cost (lo%)
Operator wage and benefit rate (WB)
Scheduled machine hours (SMH)

2. Calculations

Salvage value (S) = (P*rv%) Annual depreciation (AD) = ((P-S)/n) Average yearly investment (AYI) = ((((P-S)*(n+1))/(2*n))+S) Productive machine hours (PMH) = (SMH*ut%)

3. Ownership costs

Interest cost (IN) = (in%*AYI) Insurance and tax cost (IT) = (it%*AYI) Yearly ownership cost (YF\$) = (AD+IN+IT) Ownership cost per SMH (F\$SMH) = (YF\$/SMH) Ownership cost per PMH (F\$PMH) = (YF\$/PMH)

4. Operating costs

Fuel cost (F) = (hp*fcr*fcg) Lube cost (L) = (F*lo%) Repair and Maintenance cost (RM) = (AD*rm%/PMH) Operator labor and benefit cost (WB/ut%) Operating cost per PMH (V\$PMH) = (F+L+RM+(WB/ut%)) Operating cost per SMH (V\$SMH) = (V\$PMH*ut%)

5. Total Machine Costs

Total cost per SMH (TSMH) = (FSMH+VSMH) Total cost per PMH (TPMH) = (FPMH + VPMH)













C) + (º +) ∓		MRCalculatorHW1	[Compatibility Mode] - Mi	icrosoft Excel			- 1	⊐ x
<u> </u>	Hom	ne Insert Page Layout	Formulas Data	a Review View					 — 	o x
Paste •	∦ Èà ∛ rd ⊊	Arial • 10 • A B I U • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Wrap Text	General ▼ \$ ▼ % →	Conditional Format Formatting ≈ as Table Styles	Cell Styles *	Delete Format Cells	Σ · Σ · Sort & Find & Filter * Select * Editing	
	D12	$ f_x$	3.5							×
A B	Gene 1 2 3 4 Fixe 5	General Machine Rate Calc eral Assumptions 2000 Scheduled hours \$3.10 Fuel cost (\$/gal of 0.10 Interest rate (dec 0.6 Utilization (PMH/s \$467,000 Purchase Price (0.15 Scherae value (d	culation slyr This is how many h off-highway diesel) C c. %) This would be eit SMH) This is the ratio o (less tires on line 13 if y	Valmet 445 w/bar saw hours each year you would pla check current diesel price tren ther a loan rate or your persor of actual working hours to shift you want detail)	an for work. Five, 8-hr days µ ds at http://tonto.eia.doe.gov nal time-value of money. t hours	per week, 50 weeks pe //oog/info/wohdp/diese	r year is 2000 SMH .asp			
с	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	0.15 Salvage value (d 3.5 Insurance rate (% 5 Life (yrs) The ov 300 Horsepower 0.02633 Fuel consumption 40 Lube (% of fuel) 75 Repair and main Tires	ec. %) A general rule- % of replacement cost) wnership period or ecor oductive Hour Basis) on (g/hp-hr) atenance (% of dep) 4000 PMH/set	-of-thumb is that logging macl = \$16,345.00 /yn nomic life of the asset) = 7.9 ga = \$3,953.62 /m	nines are worth about 25% or r premium payment OWNER	Capital cost = Insurance = SHIP COSTS = Fuel = Oil and Lube = Repair & Maint = Tires/tracks =	\$55.86 /SMH <u>\$8.17 /S</u> MH \$64.03 /SMH \$24.49 \$9.79 \$39.70 \$0.00			
D	14 15 16 Labo 17 18	Miscellaneous C \$0 ie, sawbar \$0 extra A extra B pr Costs \$16.50 Base pay \$/hr 50 Benefits/fringe (% of base)	bar chain TOTAL = \$133.17 \$/ \$221.95 \$/	SMH PMH	Misc. Op Costs Item A = Item B = Item C = ERATING COSTS = LABOR =	\$73.98 /РМН \$24.75 /SMH			
) F 5	Forest Operations Research 20 Devall Drive Auburn,	<i>Unit</i> AL (334) 826-8	700 www.sts.t	is usda gov/forestops					
H + >	M ()	Calculator 🖌 Description 🖌 G	Guides / CAT 525 _ F	B / Delimber / Shear / Da	anzco 🖌 loader 🖌 MobileDo	eli I 🖣				
Ready									0% 🕞 🔲	-

Machine Rate Costing



Major Components included in costing:

- Operators Wages
- Fixed Costs
 - Purchase price
 - Interest
 - Taxes
 - Insurance
- Variable Costs
 - Fuel
 - Lube and oil
 - Repair and maintenance



Machine Rate Costing



	**1993 Total \$/Day	2013 Total \$/Day	Change in \$/Day	Change in %
Feller Buncher	\$1,191	\$1,460	\$270	+23
Skidder	\$710	\$1,167	\$457	+64
Track Skidder	\$850	\$1,278	\$428	+50
Slide-Boom Delimber	\$1,110	\$1,533	\$423	+38
Loader	\$793	\$1,125	\$332	+42

**Champion International cost data, adjusted for inflation

Dodson, Hayes, Meek, and Keyes. (2015). Montana Logging Machine Rates, International Journal of Forest Engineering



Machine Rate Costing



Contributing factors for increases:

- Purchase price of new equipment
 - Emission standards
 - New technology
 - Safety features
- Cost of Steel
 - 1993- \$175/ton (2013 dollars)
 - 2013- \$216/ ton 23% increase
- Fuel
 - 1993- \$1.33/gallon off road diesel (2013 dollars)
 - 2013- \$3.50/gallon off road diesel
- Repair and maintenance-parts and labor
- Labor/Insurance costs





United States Department of Agriculture

Forest Service

Forest Products Laboratory

General Technical Report FPL-GTR-171



CHARGEOUT! Determining Machine and Capital Equipment Charge-Out Rates Using Discounted Cash-Flow Analysis

E.M. (Ted) Bilek





Daily Costs



- Sum of machine rates
- Crew transportation and housing
- Rent (office, shop, etc.)
- Professional services (accountant, legal, etc.)
- Training and professional accreditation



Cost Components





FOREST INDUSTRY RESEARCH PROGRAM Stuart et al. (2008), Greene & Baker (2011)

Estimating Production

- Basis of measure
 - Volume MBF, tonsArea acre, station
- Will depend on how the job is bid/paid for
- Timber sale: generally based on volume of saleable product produced
- Service contract: generally based on area treated







Estimating Production - Volume

- Area/length direct measure (GPS, hip chain, etc.)
- Volume
 - Volume per piece
 - Scale up to
 volume per turn
 - Scale or truck tickets

BASIC AMERICAN FOODS 40 EAST 7TH NORTH REXBURG, ID 83440

MILL RESIDUE WEIGHT AND MOISTURE ANALYSIS

WEEK ENDING

. . 1

11/8/2008

OSLER LOGGING ATTN: JEREMY OSLER 400 MOUNTAIN LION TRAIL BOZEMAN, MT 59718

DATE	SCALE	NET	PERCENT	H2O	BDS	1
	TICKET	WEIGIIT	MOISTURE	POUNDS	POUNDS	
11/4/2008	95105	47,460	22.0	10,441	37,019	
11/4/2003	95106	/ 31,000	18.0	5,580	25,420	
11/5/2008	95127 -	43,440	17.0	7,385	36,055	
11/5/2008	95132	33,480	15.0	5,022	28,458	10-15-37
11/8/2008	95136	36,260	17.0	6,164	30,096	1.152.11
11/5/2008	95140	32,740	17.0	5,566	27,174	/ ·
11/5/2008	95147	63,580	19.0	12,080	51,500	
11/5/2008	95149	45,680	20.0	9,136	36,544	
11/5/2008	95144	38,240	15.0	5,736	32,504	i
11/6/2008	95164	48,740	25.0	12,185	36,555	1.5
11/6/2008	95166 -	48,880	30.0	14,664	34,216	1.5
11/6/2008	95169	56,820	25.0	14,205	42,615	53
11/6/2008	95173	50,660	28.0	14,185	36,475	هر ۱
11/6/2008	95183 ×	48,700	21.0	10,227	38,473	5
11/6/2008	· 9525 (53,460	24.0	12,830	40,630	FS
11/6/2008	11555 1	50,800	30.0	15,240	35,560	is
11/6/2008	9959、	50,440	22.0	11.097	39,343	+ 5
11/6/2008	7956	46,660	19.0	8,865	37,795	12100
11/7/2008	95198	42,780	24.0	10,267	32.513	~ (lo)
11/7/2008	952 10 🗸	47,960	23.0	11.031	36,929	17.5
11/7/2008	95214	52,740	19.0	10.021	42,719	Ľĸ
11/7/2008	95218 1	51,600	20.0	10,320	41.280	
11/7/2008	95219	49,040	19.0	9.318	39 722	. .
11/7/2008	95224 /	44,560	23.0	10,249	34.311	
11/7/2008	95229 🗸	53,660	22.0	11,805	41,855	ſs
,,		1,169,380		253,619	915,761	
				BDS TONS	457.8805	
			C	JREEN TONS	584.69	

Logging Costs



- Determine costs (\$/hour, \$/day)
- Determine production rate (MBF/hour, ton/day, acre/day)
- Calculate cost per unit output (\$/MBF, \$/acre, \$/ton)





BBER Logging Cost Study

Expert Opinion



FOREST INDUSTRY RESEARCH PROGRAM

Estimating Harvesting Costs



Steven W. Hayes, CF, Todd A. Morgan, CF, Michael J. Niccolucci

BUREAU OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC RESEARCH

Introduction

The Bureau of Business and Economic Research at the University of Montana-Missoula is conducting an ongoing logging cost study to characterize Montana timber harvest costs.

Objectives

- This study characterizes Montana timber harvest costs by:
- Updating stump-to-loaded truck cost estimates for several timber harvest systems using expert opinion derived costs
- · Quantifying costs for increases or decreases in fuel, labor, insurance, parts and other cost factors affecting harvest to a 2019 cost basis
- · Quantifying the effects of tree size and skidding, yarding, distances with a constant harvest volume per acre

Methods

2019 was the sixth time since 2009 the survey was mailed to over 400 independent logging contractors and timber harvesting companies in Montana and Idaho asking for cost estimates for several timber harvest systems. Contractors responding to the survey were offered continuing education credits through the Montana Logging Association and Idaho Associated Logging Contractors. Three scenarios; whole tree ground based (figure 1), whole tree cable/skyline based (figure 2), cut to length in woods processed (figure 3) were presented.

The Survey participants were presented with a silvicultural/harvest prescription and asked to prepare a cost estimate or bid for each scenario (Table 1)

Table 1. Variables used to determine costs included:

Average skidding distance Average yarding distance Average Forwarding distance Average DBH removed Trees per acre removed Cubic foot volume of average tree Volume removed per acre Overall harvest acres treated

600 feet 800 feet 1000 feet 13 inches 42 (partial cut) 24 1,000 ft3 (30 green tons) 40-80 acres

Literature Cited:

Keegan, C.E., and J. Halbrook. Harvest Cost, Employment and Labor Income Estimates for Montana's Forest Products Industry. 2006. Missoula, MT: The University of Montana, Bureau of Business and Economic Research. Keegan, C.E., M.J. Niccolucci, C.E. Fiedler, J.G. Jones and R.W. Regel. 2002. Harvest Costs Collection Approaches and Associated Equations For Restoration Treatments On National Forests, Forest Prod. J. 52(7/8): 96-99



Steven W. Hayes, CF Senior Research Forester

steve haves@business umt edu (406) 243-5113 www.bber.umt.edu

Figure 1.	Ground	Based S	System	All costs in 2019 dollars
			,,	All Costs III 2019 dollars

		\$/G	\$/1	\$/MBF				
	2009	2011	2017	2019				
Feller-buncher	\$8.41	\$7.85	\$7.74	\$8.42	\$8.57	\$7.90	\$53.15	\$48.98
Skidding 600'	\$6.62	\$5.94	\$6.16	\$6.94	\$6.86	\$6.74	\$42.52	\$41.79
Skidding 1,200'	\$8.57	\$7.40	\$7.73	\$8.71	\$8.67	\$8.74	\$53.73	\$54.19
Skidding 1,800'	\$10.62	\$8.87	\$9.41	\$11.28	\$10.94	\$11.15	\$67.84	\$69.13
Processing	\$8.06	\$7.41	\$7.50	\$8.16	\$8.27	\$8.14	\$51.29	\$50.47
Loading	\$3.80	\$3.85	\$3.79	\$3.57	\$3.63	\$3.89	\$22.49	\$24.12
Administration	\$1.66	\$1.39	\$1.89	\$1.88	\$2.06	\$2.45	\$12.76	\$15.19
Total	\$28.56	\$26.44	\$27.08	\$28.98	\$29.39	\$29.12	\$182.21	\$180.54





		\$/G	reen	Гоп			\$/N	ABF
	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019	2017	2019
land-Felling	\$5.77	\$5.40	\$4.97	\$4.80	\$5.32	\$5.59	\$32.99	\$34.66
arding 800'	\$25.79	\$24.96	\$22.28	\$23.42	\$23.33	\$21.79	\$144.64	\$135.10
arding 1,600'	\$30.65	\$30.76	\$24.56	\$27.08	\$28.12	\$26.43	\$174.35	\$163.87
arding 2,000'	\$35.28	\$33.49	\$26.02	\$30.04	\$30.46	\$29.17	\$188.84	\$180.85
Processing	\$8.15	\$7.56	\$7.39	\$8.32	\$8.51	\$9.00	\$52.77	\$55.80
Loading	\$3.79	\$3.73	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$3.95	\$5.27	\$24.48	\$32.67
Iministration	\$2.11	\$1.84	\$1.81	\$1.78	\$1.81	\$2.85	\$11.21	\$17.67
	EAE CO	642.40	\$40.11	642.17	642.02	\$44.50	\$266.00	6276.00

Figure 3. Cut-to-length System

RESULTS

expected.

		\$/G	\$/MBF					
	2009	2011	2013	2015*	2017*	2019	2017	2019
Harvester	\$15.73	\$13.47	\$15.72	\$16.70	\$16.50	\$16.56	\$102.31	\$102.67
Forwarding 1,000'	\$10.96	\$9.26	\$10.31	\$11.52	\$13.17	\$13.01	\$81.65	\$80.66
Forwarding 2,000'	\$16.05	\$11.88	\$15.94	\$13.55	\$15.34	\$14.50	\$95.10	\$89.90
Forwarding 3,000'	\$19.73	\$15.85	\$18.69	\$17.30	\$19.27	\$17.00	\$119.45	\$105.40
Loading	\$4.13	\$3.83	\$4.29	\$4.22	\$4.21	\$4.61	\$26.09	\$28.58
Administration	\$1.77	\$1.45	\$2.09	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.68	\$11.92	\$16.62
Total	\$32.58	\$28.01	\$32.41	\$34.26	\$35.80	\$36.86	\$221.86	\$228 53



All costs in 2019 dollars * 2015 and 2017 CTL costs are calculated since no surveys were returned

2019 reported stump to loaded truck costs ranged from \$29.12

per green ton for ground based systems employing whole tree

skidding/yarding distances tend to increase costs and that cable

2019 reported logging costs were typically higher than 2017 but

Lower harvesting costs are due primarily to attempts by loggers

to continue operating in a competitive economic market. With improving delivered log prices some increases in logging cost are

Loggers felt "The 2009/2011 rates are not sustainable and

contractors were bidding to maintain a viable core business &

· Because of the survey's simplicity and repeatability, results can

be compared with previous (Keegan et al. 1995, 2002) and future

cost surveys to examine the impacts through time of changing

fuel costs, harvest characteristics, or other items of interest.

Results indicate that smaller-diameter trees and longer

systems are more expensive than ground-based systems.

lower than some previous survey years based costs, despite

based on Table 1 harvest characteristics.

higher fuel and other operating costs

crew at minimal profit levels."

SURVEY RESPONSE COMMENTS

.. our costs are way up; payroll and health insurance for our skidding to \$36.86 for cut to length and \$44.50 for cable systems employees, fuel and repairs are taking all what we make; can't log for any less.

> · Overall rates/costs are too low, especially with the cost of fuel and parts going up.

Changes in fuel costs affect logging costs directly, 10% change in fuel = 2.5% change in logging costs.

Sometimes there are a number of overlooked conditions that have more effect on expenses vs. production than the obvious ones of TPA/diameter/distance

·Every job is so different that giving you our cost would be a wild auess.

There are very few equipment operators left that can do the job right and that care about what they do. So with the cost of fuel, parts, labor, insurance and work comp you barely break even at the current logging prices. If you add in a new equipment payment you would go broke.

Political policy and federal regulation has sent this industry into a deliberate yet totally unnecessary tailspin-shame-shame-shame!

Figure 2. Cable System

Survey Questions



Ground based whole-tree costs (stump-to-loaded-truck) include a feller-buncher, grapple skidder or cat, delimber, loader, and planning & administration. On an average sale unit, slope < 35%, no special provisions. Please provide cost for all skidding distances. How would a change in volume per acre change your stump-to-truck costs? Provide costs in \$/Green ton or \$/MBF whichever you are most familiar with.

MONTANA	Costs from 2015 survey 4 mbf/acre cut		Your 2017 cost estimates									
			4 mbf/acre cut		8 mbf/	8 mbf/ac cut		10 mbf/ac cut		ac cut		
	\$/Green Ton	\$/MBF	\$/Green Ton	\$/MBF	\$/Green Ton	\$/MBF	\$/Green Ton	\$/MBF	\$/Green Ton	\$/MBF		
Feller-buncher	\$7.85	\$48.67	1. 1. 1 The	000	The last		AP STATE			Re W		
External Skidding	\$ < 17	¢ 40, 1,1										
600 feet	\$6.47	\$40.11							$ \longrightarrow $			
or 1,200 feet	\$8.12	\$50.34										
or 1,800 feet	\$10.51	\$65.16										
Processing	\$7.61	\$47.18		1254								
Loading	\$3.33	\$20.65					A Martin		The state	and a		
Administration	\$1.75	\$10.85	AN I	The second	1			1.200		i and		
Total	\$27.01	\$167.46		NICT SHE			The way		Star 1			







Ground Based System

		\$/Green Ton									
	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019	2017	2019			
Feller-buncher	\$8.41	\$7.85	\$7.74	\$8.42	\$8.57	\$7.90	\$53.15	\$48.98			
Skidding 600'	\$6.62	\$5.94	\$6.16	\$6.94	\$6.86	\$6.74	\$42.52	\$41.79			
Skidding 1,200'	\$8.57	\$7.40	\$7.73	\$8.71	\$8.67	\$8.74	\$53.73	\$54.19			
Skidding 1,800'	\$10.62	\$8.87	\$9.41	\$11.28	\$10.94	\$11.15	\$67.84	\$69.13			
Processing	\$8.06	\$7.41	\$7.50	\$8.16	\$8.27	\$8.14	\$51.29	\$50.47			
Loading	\$3.80	\$3.85	\$3.79	\$3.57	\$3.63	\$3.89	\$22.49	\$24.12			
Administration	\$1.66	\$1.39	\$1.89	\$1.88	\$2.06	\$2.45	\$12.76	\$15.19			
Total	\$28.56	\$26.44	\$27.08	\$28.98	\$29.39	\$29.12	\$182.21	\$180.54			

GROUND BASED REGRESSION MODEL COMPARISON

2019 Ground Base	d Logging Co	st Model	(Predictin	ng Survey	Data)					
	Coefficient									
Variable	(\$ / Ton)			\$/Ton						
Constant	29.015			\$ 29.02						
Skid Dist (100 ft)	0.310		600.0	\$ 1.86						
Vol / Acre (MBF)	-0.363		4.0	\$ (1.45)						
State (MT=0, ID=1)	0.000		MT	\$ -						
				\$ 29.42						
	2019 S	TUMP TO L	OADED TR	UCK PREDI	CTED COST	S (\$ PER TO	DN)			
				Yarding D	istance (10	00's ft)				
Volume / Acre										
Harvested (MBF)	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	
4	\$28.80	\$29.42	\$30.04	\$30.66	\$31.28	\$31.90	\$32.52	\$33.14	\$33.76	
6	\$28.08	\$28.70	\$29.32	\$29.94	\$30.55	\$31.17	\$31.79	\$32.41	\$33.03	
8	\$27.35	\$27.97	\$28.59	\$29.21	\$29.83	\$30.45	\$31.07	\$31.69	\$32.31	
10	\$26.62	\$27.24	\$27.86	\$28.48	\$29.10	\$29.72	\$30.34	\$30.96	\$31.58	
12	\$25.90	\$26.52	\$27.14	\$27.76	\$28.38	\$29.00	\$29.62	\$30.24	\$30.86	
14	\$25.17	\$25.79	\$26.41	\$27.03	\$27.65	\$28.27	\$28.89	\$29.51	\$30.13	
16	\$24.45	\$25.07	\$25.69	\$26.31	\$26.92	\$27.54	\$28.16	\$28.78	\$29.40	
18	\$23.72	\$24.34	\$24.96	\$25.58	\$26.20	\$26.82	\$27.44	\$28.06	\$28.68	
20	\$22.99	\$23.61	\$24.23	\$24.85	\$25.47	\$26.09	\$26.71	\$27.33	\$27.95	
	NOTES:									
	1. Yellow sha	ded cells d	lefine the	range of th	ne base dat	a.				
	2. Use sale sp	ecific conv	ersion fac	tors to con	vert to \$ p	er CCF or \$	per MBF.			
						İ	•			
		e								





Cable System

		\$/Green Ton									
	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019	2017	2019			
Hand-Felling	\$5.77	\$5.40	\$4.97	\$4.80	\$5.32	\$5.59	\$32.99	\$34.66			
Yarding 800'	\$25.79	\$24.96	\$22.28	\$23.42	\$23.33	\$21.79	\$144.64	\$135.10			
Yarding 1,600'	\$30.65	\$30.76	\$24.56	\$27.08	\$28.12	\$26.43	\$174.35	\$163.87			
Yarding 2,000'	\$35.28	\$33.49	\$26.02	\$30.04	\$30.46	\$29.17	\$188.84	\$180.85			
Processing	\$8.15	\$7.56	\$7.39	\$8.32	\$8.51	\$9.00	\$52.77	\$55.80			
Loading	\$3.79	\$3.73	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$3.95	\$5.27	\$24.48	\$32.67			
Administration	\$2.11	\$1.84	\$1.81	\$1.78	\$1.81	\$2.85	\$11.21	\$17.67			
Total	\$45.62	\$43.48	\$40.11	\$42.17	\$42.92	\$44.50	\$266.09	\$275.90			



Cut-to-length System

	and the second s	and the second second second second						
		\$/MBF						
	2009	2011	2013	2015*	2017*	2019	2017	2019
Harvester	\$15.73	\$13.47	\$15.72	\$16.70	\$16.50	\$16.56	\$102.31	\$102.67
Forwarding 1,000'	\$10.96	\$9.26	\$10.31	\$11.52	\$13.17	\$13.01	\$81.65	\$80.66
Forwarding 2,000'	\$16.05	\$11.88	\$15.94	\$13.55	\$15.34	\$14.50	\$95.10	\$89.90
Forwarding 3,000'	\$19.73	\$15.85	\$18.69	\$17.30	\$19.27	\$17.00	\$119.45	\$105.40
Loading	\$4.13	\$3.83	\$4.29	\$4.22	\$4.21	\$4.61	\$26.09	\$28.58
Administration	\$1.77	\$1.45	\$2.09	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.68	\$11.92	\$16.62
Total	\$32.58	\$28.01	\$32.41	\$34.26	\$35.80	\$36.86	\$221.86	\$228.53

SURVEY COMMENTS



- Changes in fuel costs affect logging costs directly, 10% change in fuel = 2.5% change in logging costs.
- Reduced harvesting & the economic situation have resulted in bid rates well below prior year averages. In my opinion these rates are not sustainable and contractors are bidding to maintain a viable core business & crew at minimal profit levels.
- Two years ago we were running five mechanized sides but because of market conditions and the economy we have cut our work force to 3 mechanized sides.
- Our costs are way up; these prices are too low, Payroll and health insurance for our employees and fuel costs are taking all what we make. Cost of new equipment, fuel and repairs is a killer; can't log for any less.
- The problem with logging is the cost of equipment and parts have doubled in the last 4-5 years and the pay to the logger has stayed at a low level. At the same time the work force is shrinking, hard to find someone that is willing to do a good job and care.
- Sometimes there are a number of overlooked conditions that have more effect on expenses vs. production than the obvious ones of TPA/diameter/distance.
- If some change does not happen soon we will be out of business, lack of profitable jobs, due to market conditions and greedy mills. In this area we don't see them hurting. It is all put onto the logger to make up for shortfalls.



Estimated Log Hauling Costs for Idaho and Montana 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016 & 2018

 The goal of this project is to develop better estimates of log hauling costs and to get a better understanding of key variables and factors impacting log hauling costs.



Excel Haul Appraisal Workbook



Haul Appraisal Worksheet:	Road Classification
	C = "goat roads"
Calculated Fields	BC = avg. woods
	B = fast grav/woods
	AB = pavement
User input fields	A = fed/state hwy

	Appraisal				Destinati	ons or/ one way n	nileage / round	trip hours		
	formula	Est. RT	<u>Mill 1</u>		M	<u>ill 2</u>	M	<u>ill 3</u>	<u>Mill 4</u>	
Class	\$/ton	mph	# miles	RT hrs.	# miles	RT hrs.	# miles	RT hrs.	# miles	RT hrs.
с	\$ 0.27	6	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
ВС	<mark>\$ 0.19</mark>	13	10	1.54	10	1.54	0	0.00	0	0.00
В	<mark>\$ 0.15</mark>	22	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
AB	\$ 0.20	30	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
Α	<mark>\$ 0.16</mark>	55	150	5.45	240	8.73	30	1.09	50	1.82
Other	<mark>\$ -</mark>	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
		Load hrs		0.75		1		0.75		0.75
base rate	\$ -	Unload hrs		0.75		1		0.75		0.75
	Haul miles &	hrs / RT =	160	8.49	25	0 12.27	30	2.59	50	3.32
			_							
	_	Avg. tons/load =		28		28		28		28
	Appraisal formula	a \$/ton & \$/hr =	\$25.90	\$85.39	\$40.30	\$92.00	\$4.80	\$51.87	\$ 8.00	\$67.51
	Proposed \$/to	on & \$/hr =	\$24.54	\$80.90	\$37.44	\$85.47	\$7.85	\$84.84	\$10.32	\$87.08
		Fuel Surcharge:								
		Base Rate:	\$24.54	\$80.90	\$37.44	\$85.47	\$7.85	\$84.84	\$10.32	\$87.08

Log Hauling Costs

- Following an engineering/cost analysis and discussion with individuals in the trucking and logging industry, researchers developed questionnaires to be administered to truckers and to entities contracting with truckers hauling logs.
- Operators responded to estimated costs developed for hauls of various lengths on paved and gravel roads. If the respondents' costs differed from BBER costs they were asked to supply their own cost estimates of operations.

Haul Cost

A single cost per mile, for a range of haul distances, is not sufficient to estimate haul costs; in estimating costs it is necessary to account for loading and unloading (and other delays).

- Cost per day of operating a logging truck ranged from \$970 to \$1,050 given a 70 mile one way haul, \$3 diesel .
- At \$4 diesel the range increases to \$1,038 to \$1,124.
- About a 7% increase.





Conventional Truck: cost/delivered ton

One-way Haul Miles	30	50	70	110	160	250
Diesel Cost						
\$1.80/gal	\$6.74	\$8.88	\$11.29	\$14.62	\$19.80	\$29.94
Decrease	-6%	-8%	-9%	-10%	-11%	-11%
\$3.00/ gal	\$7.20	\$9.65	\$12.36	\$16.31	\$22.25	\$33.76
\$4.00/gal	\$7.58	\$10.28	\$13.25	\$17.71	\$24.30	\$36.95
Increase	5%	7%	7%	9%	9%	14%





One-way Haul Miles	30	50	70	110	160	250
Diesel Cost						
\$1.80/gal	\$0.22	\$0.18	\$0.16	\$0.13	\$0.12	\$0.12
Decrease	-8%	-10%	-11%	-13%	-14%	-14%
\$3.00/gal	\$0.24	\$0.19	\$0.18	\$0.15	\$0.14	\$0.14
\$4.00/gal	\$0.25	\$0.21	\$0.19	\$0.16	\$0.15	\$0.15
Increase	4%	10%	6%	7%	7%	7%



Why does it Matter?

Random lengths composite index construction lumber price: Modern high-tech mill overrun/lumber recovery is 2 x mbf log scale: \$436/mbf lumber tally \$872/mbf lumber tally

Costs:

Manufacturing cost, including Profit and Risk:

Log hauling cost:

Logging cost:

Ground based:

Skyline/cable:

Other management costs:

\$300/mbf \$100/mbf \$175/mbf \$256/mbf \$100/mbf

Stumpage:

What's \$\$\$ left for the owner of the trees?

With ground based logging

With skyline/cable logging

\$197/mbf

\$116/mbf



FOREST INDUSTRY RESEARCH PROGRAM UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA

Logging Cost Study

"Harvest Cost Collection Approaches and Associated Equations for Restoration Treatments on National Forests" Keegan, et al, Forest Products Journal, July/ August 2002.





Logging Cost Study

- Cost Estimation Approaches (Horngren et. al, 2000)
 - Industrial Engineering Method (Time and Motion)
 - Conference Method (Expert Opinion)
 - Account Analysis Method
 - Quantitative Analysis Method



BBER Logging Cost Approach

- Expert Opinion combined with Quantitative Analysis
 - Steve covered the cost collection process used to collect the expert opinions
 - I will cover the Quantitative Analysis used and recent results for Logging and Hauling Costs



BBER Logging Cost Approach

- Methods Statistical Analysis of Expert Opinion Responses
 - Regression analysis used to develop logging and haul cost models based on costs collected from experts
 - Repeated measure design
 - Each respondent considered an observation and each scenario served as the repeated measure
 - Very simple models. Tradeoff between survey complexity and development of a parsimonious model (model that accomplishes a desired level of prediction with as few predictor variables as possible)

BBER Logging Cost Analysis

"all models are wrong, but some are useful" George E. P. Box

The model will never represent the exact real behavior ... but even if a model cannot describe exactly the reality it could be very helpful if it is close enough.







BBER Ground Based Logging Cost Results

2019 ST PREDIO	TUMP TO LO	DADED TF 5 (\$ PER T	RUCK 'ON)	2019 STU AVERAG	2019 STUMP TO LOADED TRUCK AVERAGE COSTS (\$ PER TON)					DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SURVEY AVERAGE vs PREDICTED AVERAGE					
	Yarding Dist. (100's ft)				Yarding Dist. (100's ft)					Yarding I	Dist. (100)'s ft)			
Vol / Acre Harvested (MBF)	6	12	18	Vol / Acre Harvested (MBF)	6	12	18		Vol / Acre Harvested (MBF)	6	12	18			
4	\$29.42	\$31.28	\$33.14	4	\$29.68	\$31.12	\$33.55		4	\$0.26	-\$0.16	\$0.41			
8	\$27.97	\$29.83	\$31.69	8	\$28.18	\$28.83	\$31.73		8	\$0.21	-\$1.00	\$0.04			
10	\$27.24	\$29.10	\$30.96	10	\$27.50	\$29.20	\$30.88		10	\$0.26	\$0.10	-\$0.08			
12	\$26.52	\$28.38	\$30.24	12	\$25.88	\$26.92	\$29.40		12	-\$0.64	-\$1.46	-\$0.84			
16	\$25.07	\$26.92	\$28.78	16	\$25.39	\$27.20	\$29.27		16	\$0.32	\$0.28	\$0.49			





BBER Cable Logging Cost Results

2019 STUMP TO LOADED TRUCK PREDICTED COSTS (\$ PER TON)				2	2019 STUMP TO LOADED TRUCK AVERAGE COSTS (\$ PER TON)					DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SURVEY AVERAGE vs PREDICTED AVERAGE			
	Yarding Dist. (100's ft)				Yarding Dist. (100's ft)						Yarding [Dist. (100)'s ft)
Vol / Acre Harvested (MBF)	8	16	20	Vol Har ۱)	/ Acre vested MBF)	8	16	20		Vol / Acre Harvested (MBF)	8	16	20
. ,													
4	\$45.00	\$51.07	\$54.11		4	\$44.82	\$50.59	\$54.25		4	-\$0.18	-\$0.48	\$0.14
8	\$43 03	\$ <u>4</u> 9 11	\$52 14		8	\$42.00	\$48.00	NR		8	-\$1 03	-\$1 11	#\/Δ111F1
10	\$42.05	¢19.11	\$51.16		10	\$12.00	\$18.60	¢E1 95		10	\$1.10	\$0.50	\$0.69
10	Υ - 2.03	Υ-0.12	J J1.10		10	9-3 .10	9-0 .02	J J1.03		10	J 1.14	JU.JU	<i>90.03</i>
16	\$39.09	\$45.17	\$48.21		16	\$38.51	\$44.40	\$46.81		16	-\$0.58	-\$0.77	-\$1.40
22	\$36.14	\$42.22	\$45.26		22	\$35.77	\$43.31	\$45.69		22	-\$0.37	\$1.09	\$0.43
and the second se	and the state of t			and the second second	and the second s			A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE		and the second s			and the second se





BBER Haul Cost Results

Loads per day based one way haul Miles Loads / day 2.5 1.5



2018 BBER Haul Cost Results

2018 PREDICTED HAUL COSTS (\$ PER TON)

Total One Way Haul	10	30	50	70	90	110	130	150
	\$7.09	\$9.61	\$12.13	\$14.65	\$17.17	\$19.69	\$22.21	\$24.73



Sale Feasibility Analysis (Gates 1-3) Use of Costs in Sale Development



	<u>\$ / MBF</u>
REVENUES	
Delivered Log Price	\$ 419.30
COSTS	
Private	
Stump-to-Loaded Truck Costs	\$ 250.60
Haul Costs	\$ 47.48
Forest Service Costs	
Road Maintenance Costs	\$ 10.10
Environmental Protection Costs	\$ 25.18
Temporary Development Costs	\$ -
Specified Road Costs	\$ 39.88
Non-sawtimber Adjustment	\$ -
Total Private and Forest Service Costs	\$ 373.24
ESTIMATED STUMPAGE VALUE (REVENUE - COSTS)	\$ 46.06
Minimum Rate or Required Reforestation	\$ 24.26
BREAKEVEN POINT	\$ 397.50

Questions?



Contact Us!

WWW.bber.umt.edu

Steve Hayes – <u>steve.hayes@business.umt.edu</u>; 406-243-5113 Mike Niccolucci-<u>michaelniccolucci@gmail.com</u>



FOREST INDUSTRY RESEARCH PROGRAM